

COMMUNITY SPORTS COMMITTEE

Minutes of 10th Meeting of Community Sports Committee

Date: 9 August 2006 (Wednesday)
Time: 10:30 am
Venue: Conference Room I, Home Affairs Bureau, 41/F, Revenue Tower,
5 Gloucester Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong

Present Mr CHAU How-chen (Chairman)
Mr John FAN Kam-ping (Vice-chairman)
Mrs CHAN LO Yin-bing
Mr Norman CHAN Shui-tim
Ms CHAU Chuen-heung
Prof CHEUNG Siu-yin
Mr Kenny CHOW Kun-wah
Mr Benedict LEE Shui-sing
Mr LEUNG Che-cheung
Mrs Laura LING LAU Yuet-fun
Dr LO Wing-lok
Mr Kenneth TSUI Kam-cheung
Mr YEUNG Hoi-cheung

Department Representatives in Regular Attendance

Miss Janet Wong Chin-kiu (Representative from Home Affairs Bureau)
Mr Benjamin YUNG Po-shu (Representative from Education and
Manpower Bureau)
Dr Regina CHING Cheuk-tuen (Representative from Department of Health)
Mr SIT Tung (Representative from Social Welfare
Department)
Ms Carol OR Kar-lok (Representative from Home Affairs
Department)
Miss Olivia CHAN Yeuk-oi (Representative from Leisure and Cultural
Services Department)

Absent with Apologies

Mr CHAN Kui-yin
Mr CHAN Tung
Mr Francis CHAU Yin-ming
Mr Cowen B C CHIU
Mr PUI Kwan-kay
Mr SUEN Kai-cheong
Mr TONG Wai-lun
Prof LEUNG Mee-lee

In Attendance

Ms Anissa WONG Sean-yee	(Leisure and Cultural Services Department)
Mr Alan SIU Yu-bun	(Leisure and Cultural Services Department)
Mr Paul CHEUNG Kwok-kee	(Leisure and Cultural Services Department)
Mrs Kane LI CHOI Wing-kwan	(Leisure and Cultural Services Department)
Ms Alice HEUNG Ching-yee	(Leisure and Cultural Services Department)
Ms Rebecca LOU Wai-yi	(Leisure and Cultural Services Department)
Ms Winnie LEE Fung-ming	(Leisure and Cultural Services Department)
Mrs Vicky TONG AU Yuk-chun	(Leisure and Cultural Services Department)
Mr Daniel SIN Pak-wing	(Home Affairs Bureau)
Mr Stanley HUI Sai-chuen	(Associate Professor, Department of Sports Science and Physical Education, The Chinese University of Hong Kong)
Ms LOK Kit-ha (Secretary)	(Leisure and Cultural Services Department)

Opening Remarks

1.1 The Chairman welcomed members and representatives from various government departments to the Meeting. Moreover, he welcomed Miss Janet Wong, who has taken up the post of Principal Assistant Secretary (Home Affairs)(Recreation & Sport) and also welcomed Ms Carol OR of the Home Affairs Department (HAD), who had replaced Miss Victoria TANG to attend the Meeting.

1.2 As regards the work of the Secretariat of the Community Sports Committee (CSC), the Home Affairs Bureau (HAB) stated in its letter dated 14 July 2006 to Members that as the issues discussed by the Committee were generally related to the services provided by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD), the HAB had made the arrangement that the LCSD took up the work of this Committee's Secretariat with effect from 1 July 2006 in order to strengthen the support and carry out the Committee's recommendations and work. Ms LOK Kit-ha, Chief Leisure Manager (Community Sports) of the LCSD, would take up the post of the Secretary of the Committee. On behalf of the Committee, the Chairman welcomed the LCSD to take over the work of the Secretariat and also thanked colleagues from the HAB for their provision of secretarial services to the Committee over a period of more than two years.

1.3 The Chairman said that the 10th Meeting of the CSC was originally scheduled to be held in May but due to the fact that Members had a brainstorming session on 25 April 2006, the 10th Meeting was postponed until then.

Item 1: Confirmation of the Minutes of the 9th Meeting of the CSC

2.1 The Secretariat issued the draft Minutes of the 9th Meeting to Members on 27 April 2006 by fax. Up till then, the Secretariat had not received any proposals for amendments. There being no amendments, the Chairman announced the endorsement of the Minutes of the 9th Meeting.

2.2 Mr John FAN, the Vice-chairman, proposed a motion to congratulate the Chairman and some Members on receiving Honours on 1 July 2006. They included the Chairman, who was awarded the Gold Bauhinia Star, Mr CHAN Tung, who was awarded the Silver Bauhinia Star, Mrs CHAN LO Yin-bing, who was awarded the Medal of Honour, and Mr CHU Fu-yau, a school principal and member of the Student Sports Activities Coordinating Sub-Committee, who was also awarded the Medal of Honour. The motion was carried unanimously.

Item 2: Matters Arising

(i) Progress of the Development of a Stadium in South East Kowloon

3.1 Mr Daniel SIN of the HAB briefed Members on the progress of the development of a stadium in South East Kowloon. The Planning Department (Plan Dept) was conducting the third round of public consultation on the development of South East Kowloon. The proposed construction of a stadium was an important landmark of the overall planning and the Plan Dept

had reserved the required land for the provision of the relevant facilities. Mr Sin also said that the consultation period would end in late August and Members were welcomed to put forward their views to the HAB or the Plan Dept on the proposed development of the stadium. The consultancy had conducted a study on the uses and financial arrangement for the stadium and submitted an interim report. During the course of the study, the HAB, the Plan Dept, the consultancy and the Sports Federation & Olympic Committee of Hong Kong, China had made arrangements to meet with various National Sports Associations (NSAs) and briefed them on the planning of the Kai Tak Development and collected their views on the facilities and uses of the stadium. Apart from a main stadium for holding major sporting events, the proposed Multi-purpose Stadium would also include a secondary stadium and an indoor multi-sports arena for the use of schools and district organisations. In addition, facilities would also be open to the public for sitting-out purpose. After the third round consultation and financial study, in-depth study and planning would be conducted subject to satisfactory findings. The HAB would continue to report to Members on the progress of the construction of the stadium in future and hoped that Members would keep on taking note of the proposal and give their support.

(ii) Summer Youth Programme 2006

4.1 Ms LOK Kit-ha, the Secretary, reported that the CSC had proposed to cooperate with the Summer Youth Programme (SYP) Committee to hold more sport-related summer activities for the youth in the summer vacation, such as fun days jointly organised with the NSAs, exchange activities like paying a visit to athletes and visits to recreational and sports facilities. The Secretariat of the CSC received a written reply on 5 June 2006 from the Chairman of the SYP Committee, who said that the SYP Committee had organised similar activities in the past and suggested that the elite athletes be arranged to attend functions such as opening ceremonies, closing ceremonies or sports activities organised by the 18 SYP District Coordinating Committees so as to enhance the youth's knowledge and interest in sports in order to attract more young people to take part in sports activities.

4.2 The Chairman said that as the SYP Committee had not given a reply in respect of the cooperation proposal until June, time was running out for making preparation and various districts had already finished the planning work for their summer activities. He therefore suggested that the future cooperation arrangement with the SYP Committee be further discussed in the coming year.

(iii) Report on the Views Given During the Brainstorming Session of the CSC

5.1 The Chairman reported that the brainstorming session of the CSC had been held in the Kowloon Club on 25 April 2006. The main topics explored included the mode of development of the community sports clubs, the culture of governance of the NSAs and the ways to enhance funding effectiveness etc. Apart from expressing their views on the aforesaid areas, Members also discussed the allocation of resources and the cooperation relationships between the community sports clubs and the NSAs during the meeting. The HAB had issued the draft of the Minutes of Meeting of the brainstorming session to Members by fax on 8 August 2006 for their perusal. Members were invited to give their views on the contents of the Minutes of Meeting.

5.2 To further promote the culture of “Sports for All”, Dr Patrick HO, Secretary for Home Affairs (SHA), suggested at the brainstorming session that the Committee should draw up a strategy proposal to promote community sports. In this connection, the LCSD prepared a consultation paper on “Strategies for Promoting Community Sports” with a view to collecting Members’ views on the way forward and specific strategies to promote community sports. The paper would be discussed in detail in Item 4.

(iv) Report on 2006 Hong Kong and Shanghai Summer Sports Camp for Youth

6.1 Ms Alice HEUNG of the LCSD reported that the Hong Kong and Shanghai Summer Sports Camp was first organised in 2005 at Oriental Land in Shanghai and it was organised again during the period 7-11 August 2006 at the Tso Kung Tam Outdoor Recreation Centre, Hong Kong. Mr YEUNG Hoi-cheung would continue to act as the head of Hong Kong’s Delegation for the activity and attend the opening ceremony of the summer camp.

6.2 Mr YEUNG Hoi-cheung stated that a total of 47 students and 12 staff members from Shanghai had participated in the summer camp activities and the sports items under the exchange programme were basketball and tennis. In addition to sports training, activities such as competitions, a visit to the Hong Kong Stadium and a tour of Ocean Park and Hong Kong Disneyland would be arranged. For accommodation, special arrangements were made this year for participants from both Hong Kong and Shanghai to stay in the same camp so that they would have more opportunities for exchange and communication. Officials from Shanghai held a dinner for the head of Hong Kong’s Delegation and LCSD representatives on 7 August 2006 and a farewell dinner was scheduled to be held on 10 August 2006 at the Tso Kung Tam Outdoor Recreation Centre. Members were welcome to participate in the activity.

Item 3: Report of the Advisory Committee on “Physical Fitness Test for the Community” (CSC Paper 3/06)

7.1 Dr LO Wing-lok, Convener of the Advisory Committee, briefed Members on CSC Paper 3/06 and Mr Stanley HUI, Associate Professor from the Department of Sports Science and Physical Education, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, presented the Report of the Advisory Committee on “Physical Fitness Test for the Community” (the Project) in detail, including the test items, data analysis, findings and recommendations of the report, etc.

7.2 Miss Janet WONG pointed out that there was only one age group in the questionnaire to be completed by students but the test items were sub-divided into two age groups. She enquired if the age group could be sub-divided in the questionnaire so that more detailed data could be collected. Mr Stanley HUI replied that mainly three age groups, namely young children, students and adults, were provided in the questionnaire under the Project. Due to the numerous test items in the Project, it might take longer time to complete the data analysis if the number of age groups was increased. The data could provide basic information for the time being. If resources permitted, an in-depth study could be conducted in future.

7.3 Mr Kenneth TSUI proposed that the findings of the survey on the Fitness Test be announced to the public, especially the information and recommendations that had a bearing on people’s health. Furthermore, Mr John FAN, the Vice-chairman, also suggested that the relevant information be distributed to schools for their reference.

7.4 After detailed discussion, the Chairman concluded that the Advisory Committee would hold a press conference in September 2006 to release the contents of the Report on the “Physical Fitness Test for the Community”, including the finding that the physical fitness of Hong Kong people would decline with age starting from the age of 30 and other recommendations on suitable sports for different age groups. Moreover, the Committee also proposed that the Government should allocate more resources for conducting a physical fitness test every five years and exploring the feasibility of setting up a physical fitness test centre in Hong Kong. Lastly, the Chairman thanked members of the Advisory Committee and the parties concerned for their efforts and cooperation in making the “Physical Fitness Test for the Community” a success.

Item 4: Strategies for Promoting Community Sports (CSC Paper 4/06)

8.1 Ms LOK Kit-ha, the Secretary, briefed Members on the contents of CSC Paper 4/06.

8.2 The Chairman remarked that it was necessary to get the support from District Councils (DCs) before implementing some of the strategies for promoting community sports, such as coordinating and strengthening liaison and collaboration among the major partners, staging the Hong Kong Games (HKG) and developing district sports activities with local characteristics, because they were closely related to the DCs,. As such, a meeting with some DCs, district sports associations (DSAs) and NSAs was held by the LCSD on 13 July 2006 for exchange of views on the direction of development. Besides, the Chairman, in conjunction with Mr John FAN, the Vice-chairman and the staff of the LCSD, met with the Chairmen of the 18 DCs and their culture and leisure committees on 1 and 2 August 2006 to exchange views on the strategies and direction of promoting community sports. The DC representatives present expressed their support for the direction of development as recommended in the Paper. Furthermore, the Chairman and the representatives of the LCSD also met the SHA on 5 August 2006. Dr Patrick HO, SHA, gave his strong support for the direction of development. The Chairman requested Members to advise on the proposed direction of the strategies.

8.3 Members discussed in details on the direction of development and specific strategies as recommended in the Paper. Most of the Members who spoke at the meeting welcomed and supported the direction of development of the strategies. The points discussed were summarised as follows:

- (a) Mrs CHAN LO Yin-bing supported the strengthening of liaison and collaboration among the major partners and the staging of the HKG. In view of the inadequate communication between DSAs and NSAs in the past, she agreed that it was necessary to strengthen their collaboration and recognise a single DSA to represent each DC for more effective utilisation of resources. To tie in with the development of the DSAs, she suggested that DSAs be granted additional resources and venues. She considered that the arrangement of allowing NSAs and schools to be continued to be given priority in hiring district sports venues was contradictory in this respect. Besides, she would like to know about the allocation arrangement for the additional provision of \$300 million on sports development.
- (b) Miss Olivia CHAN of the LCSD responded that NSAs and schools played an important role in sports promotion and development. She recommended that NSAs and schools could continue to be given priority in hiring district sports venues to tie in with the systematic and sustainable development of sports when the DCs participated in the management of venue facilities in the future. Regarding resources, a provision of \$300 million would be allocated to 18 DCs

for promoting and developing more community activities. NSAs and DSAs could make good use of the opportunity to enhance cooperation with DCs and to strive for more resources to organise a greater variety of community sports activities.

- (c) Ms Anissa WONG, Director of Leisure and Cultural Services (DLCS), added that the provision of \$300 million included the existing resources of the DCs, the resources of the District Leisure Services Offices of the LCSD for the organisation of recreation and sports activities and some additional resources. When the DCs participated in the management of district facilities in the future, they might exercise their own discretion and decide on how to coordinate and deploy the resources concerned to meet the needs and expectations of the local community. Since additional resources would be available in the future, it was recommended that the DCs, DSAs, NSAs and district organisations might organise a greater variety of sports activities at the district level with these resources.
- (d) Mrs Laura LING consented to the direction of development in respect of the strategies but was concerned about the difficulties that might arise during the course of implementation. The DCs, NSAs and DSAs were running separately at present. She agreed that coordinating and strengthening collaboration among major partners was necessary. However, due to the co-existence of various organisations under the DCs, she was concerned about how the Government could strike a balance among them and play a coordinating role. Therefore, she suggested that the Government should give a clear explanation on the future allocation of resources. Regarding the staging of the HKG, she expressed her concern over the relatively tight schedule and recommended that more sports items should be available for disabled athletes' participation. Moreover, she suggested that actions should be taken to explore the reasons why schools did not participate in the School Sports Programme (SSP). She was also concerned about whether schools were willing to open their sports facilities for use by community organisations and members of the public.
- (e) The Chairman remarked that the LCSD had plans long ago to hold a territory-wide sports competition for 18 districts and the concept of which was the presently proposed HKG. It was recommended that the HKG be held once every two years. Due to the issues of resources and venues, it was proposed that four popular sports events be held in the first Games. Consideration would be

given to add other events to the Games and organise events for disabled athletes' participation in the future.

- (f) Miss Olivia CHAN of the LCSD added that the proposal to organise the HKG was to showcase the strengthened participation of the major partners involved in the promotion of community sports and to enhance community cohesion. Since the HKG was a brand new event, she suggested that the Games be organised in a gradual and orderly approach. It was proposed that four popular sports with competitions organised at the district level be held in the first Games. District teams could be chosen from winners of the LCSD's district sports competitions or current district sports representatives. With the accumulation of experience, consideration might be given to add other events to the Games and organise events for disabled athletes' participation in the future. As for the expenditures involved in the HKG, they would be borne by the LCSD. For promotion of school sports, the LCSD would take the initiative to contact the schools currently not participating in the SSP to find out why they were not participating and offer assistance, in the hope of increasing the school participation rate from the current 70% to 90%. Moreover, the LCSD would also hold meetings with the Education and Manpower Bureau (EMB) and School Councils to find out what were the concerns of schools in allowing their sports facilities to be open for public use so as to further explore the feasibility of opening such facilities to the community.
- (g) Prof CHEUNG Siu-yin opined that the events in the HKG were too small in number and would be hardly effective to boost the sports development in Hong Kong. She proposed that a Hong Kong Sports Exposition (香港體育運動博覽) be held modelling on the Hong Kong Flower Show which was a successful example. The contents of the exposition could include briefings on the trend of sports development by NSAs, fun day activities, photo exhibition, sharing sessions by athletes, school promotion activities, introduction of sporting goods, publicity of the 2008 Olympic Games and the 2009 East Asian Games, etc. In addition, she also proposed that a sports museum of Chinese athletes (華人體育運動館) be set up to showcase the outstanding achievements of the Chinese elite athletes, the culture and history of sports in China, etc., so as to boost the economy of Hong Kong and promote the development of tourism. The Chairman responded that the views would be forwarded to the HAB for consideration.

- (h) Mr Benedict LEE opined that the direction of the strategies was very clear. Nevertheless, in order to utilise the resources and venues effectively, an understanding of the current affiliation among different parties including the Government, DCs, DSAs, schools, NSAs and community sport clubs would be essential. In view of this, he hoped that the Government could offer a clear way and direction showing, for example, the means to coordinate and strengthen liaison and collaboration among major partners so that resources could be utilised in a more effective way.
- (i) Dr LO Wing-lok commented that the Paper was macroscopic with various issues being covered extensively. However, as regards implementing the strategies, careful consideration should be given to the detailed arrangements, such as including disabled athletes' participation and taking into account the trend of ageing population in Hong Kong, so as to make the strategies more successful.
- (j) Mr John FAN, the Vice-chairman, suggested that attention should be given to the use of terminology, and the terms regarding sports or sports activities in the Paper should be standardised. In addition, as inter-school competitions for the students aged 7 to 19 had already been organised by the Hong Kong Schools Sports Federation, he suggested that the HKG should be exclusively open to the community members who were aged 17 or above so as to avoid resource duplication. Besides, the Vice-chairman also supported the opening of school sports facilities for the use of district organisations and advised the LCSD and the EMB to discuss with respective School Councils about the feasibility of the suggestion.
- (k) Mr YUNG Po-shu concurred with the views of Mr John FAN, the Vice-chairman, and added that the issue of opening school sports facilities to the public had been discussed before. To facilitate discussion in future, he suggested that studies on what kinds of sports facilities the community was short of at the moment, types of school sports facilities proposed to be open to the public, and ways of opening the facilities concerned, etc. should be carried out.
- (l) Mr Norman CHAN indicated that there was a severe shortage of basketball courts in the community, which hindered the development of the affiliated clubs of the Hong Kong Basketball Association (Association). In addition, he fully supported the DSAs in striving for resources to promote basketball activities at the district level for it might help to relieve the administrative pressure of the

Association. He also stated that the Association would fully cooperate with the recognised DSAs in promoting basketball activities.

8.4 After thorough discussion, all Members supported the direction of the Paper and agreed to set up two working groups under the CSC, which should be responsible for studying and examining in detail the formulation of indicators to measure the effectiveness of strategies for promoting community sports and coordinating all matters relating to the organisation of the HKG. The Secretariat would follow up on the arrangements for setting up the working groups in due course. The Chairman thanked Members for their valuable inputs to the LCSD and said that he would report the contents of the Paper to the Sports Commission on 16 August 2006. Starting from September 2006, the 18 DCs would be briefed on the relevant strategies and approaches while related work would be carried out step by step.

Item 5: Establishment of Sports Training Bases (CSC Paper 05/06)

9.1 Mr Paul CHEUNG of the LCSD presented CSC Paper 05/06. The Chairman invited Members to comment on the pilot scheme.

9.2 All the Members who had expressed their views supported the pilot scheme. Their views were as follows:

- (a) Mr Benedict LEE suggested that follow-up arrangements should be made for users of existing venue to continue participating in the relevant sports at other venues in the vicinity after conversion of the venues into dedicated sports training bases. In addition, since it was a new initiative, he put forward the view that the LCSD should monitor closely the performance of the operators to ensure that their performance was satisfactory.
- (b) Mrs CHAN LO Yin-bing suggested that attention be paid to the contract terms. Issues such as whether the contract could be terminated during the seven-year management period if the operators' performance was unsatisfactory and whether the Government should be responsible for the expenses of major maintenance should be carefully considered. She also opined that the Government should, on top of those sports items with remarkable results, consider developing other sports items if they were worth promoting and if the respective NSAs were capable of taking up the responsibility.

- (c) Mr Paul CHEUNG of the LCSD explained that the two sports training bases were chosen on the basis that the utilisation rates of the respective facilities had been low and that the relevant sports items had development potential in the long run. The results achieved by our athletes in the sports items were not of their main concern. Regarding the management period, he held that a seven-year period was more desirable for the implementation of a long-term development plan. However, if the operators' performance was not satisfactory, the Government might consider terminating the contract.
- (d) Mr Kenneth TSUI stated that the Hong Kong Tennis Association supported the pilot scheme and would tender for the contract. Yet, he opined that the development of dedicated sports training was different from that of commercial investments. The Hong Kong Tennis Association had to put in tremendous resources in the training of the elite athletes. To those non-profit making sports organisations with a clear mission to develop the specific sports items, it was difficult to ask them to run such facilities on a commercial basis. He suggested that the Government should allow the tenderers to state in the tender document their suggestions on how to upgrade or convert the complementary facilities so as to cope with their sports development in the long run.
- (e) Mr Paul CHEUNG of the LCSD said in response that if the operators wished to upgrade or convert the complementary facilities in future, they should invest their own capital while the LCSD could assist the operators in discussing with the Architectural Services Department about the feasibility of the proposals. As for whether the operators should reinstate the upgraded or converted facilities upon the expiry of the management period, he said that it would depend on whether such facilities needed to be retained.
- (f) Ms WONG, DLCS, added that the pilot scheme was designed to achieve a "win-win" situation with serving the public as the prerequisite. Besides the utilisation rates, the Government would also take into consideration the availability of alternative sports facilities for users in the vicinity. Regarding the contract conditions, since the facilities would be operated by non-profit making sports organisations, a longer management period was proposed and the operators would be allowed to accept sponsorship for the operation of the facilities. If there was surplus after deducting the recurrent operating expenses, the surplus would be used in sports development. Moreover, it was stipulated in the general conditions that the operators should reinstate the upgraded or converted facilities upon the expiry

of the management period but it would depend on the actual situation and subject to negotiation when the contract expired. Ms WONG, DLCS, said that if the pilot scheme was implemented smoothly, the Government might consider extending the scheme to other under-utilised sports facilities.

- (g) Mr Kenneth TSUI remarked that since the operators had to bear the operating expenses of the facilities, the proposal of taking a 50% share of the cumulative surplus by the Government upon the expiry of the contract would reduce the incentive of potential tenderers. Mrs CHAN LO Yin-bing supported the idea that the operators should share the cumulative surplus with the Government since the facilities were provided by the latter.
- (h) Mr Norman CHAN strongly supported the pilot scheme but pointed out that the LCSD should amend the relevant information to avoid misunderstanding that only those NSAs with remarkable results would be considered. He also suggested that the LCSD should balance the interests of various local bodies when implementing the scheme so as to reduce public criticism.

9.3 After detailed discussion, the Chairman concluded that the pilot scheme was supported by the Committee. However, a proposal was made for the LCSD to amend the relevant information so as to avoid misunderstanding that only those sports items with remarkable results would be considered.

Item 6: Working Group on the Monitoring of Performance of Contractors at LCSD Outsourced Sports Centres and Swimming Pools (CSC Paper 6/06)

10.1 Mr LEUNG Che-cheung, Convener of the Working Group, briefed Members on the contents of CSC Paper 6/06. The Chairman sought the opinions of Members on the contents of the Paper.

10.2 Members endorsed the Report of the Working Group. The Chairman and Mr LEUNG Che-cheung thanked all the members of the Working Group and the representatives of various government departments for their efforts.

10.3 Mr Alan SIU of the LCSD thanked members of the Working Group for their valuable opinions on the outsourcing arrangements made by the department. The LCSD would follow up on the recommendations of the Working Group, conduct an opinion survey on the performance of contractors annually and inform the Committee of the results of the survey in due course.

Item 7: Any Other Business

11.1 The Chairman thanked Members for attending the Meeting and offering their valuable opinions. The next meeting was scheduled for 10:30 am on 8 November 2006 (Wednesday). It would be the last meeting of this Committee in 2006 and it was hoped that Members would try their best to attend.

(Post-meeting Note: The 11th Meeting of the CSC was re-scheduled to be held on 22 November 2006 (Wednesday).)

11.2 The Meeting was adjourned at 1:20 pm.

Community Sports Committee Secretariat
October 2006